# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, MAY 18, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

6th Dist. Tresa Leth et al., Petitioners

H022572 v.

Solo Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent

International Business Machines Corporation,

Real Party in Interest

The order filed on May 16, 2001, is hereby vacated.

Baxter, J., and Chin, J., did not participate.

2nd Dist. Rita Marangoni, Petitioner

B148809 v.

Div. 7 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including May 29, 2001. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).) This order is entered nunc pro tunc as of April 28, 2001.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A085960/S096423 People v. Michael Harold Omstead; In re Michael Harold

Omstead on Habeas Corpus – June 29, 2001.

B135755/S096349 People v. Edward Charles Willis – June 29, 2001.

B139935/S096447 People v. Robert Salas – July 2, 2001.

C034155/S096399 People v. Ernest Glenn V. – June 29, 2001.

C034461/S096485 People v. Don Arby Daniel – July 3, 2001.

D033165/S096444 People v. Christina Sua – July 2, 2001.

F023501/S096418 People v. Jeffrey Conrad Spradlin et al. – July 6, 2001.

 $H020581/S096457 \quad People \ v. \ John \ Lee \ Lopez-July \ 7, \ 2001.$ 

## S094248 Christine DeGrassi, Appellant

v.

Arthur Cook et al., Respondent

On application of respondent Burke, Williams and Sorensen and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including June 11, 2001.

## S096887 In re Trung Xuan Nguyen

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to the Attorney General's informal response is extended to and including May 30, 2001.

### S087484 Lachi Delisa Richards, Respondent

v.

CH2M Hill, Inc., Appellant

The request of counsel for appellant in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellant at oral argument is hereby granted.

#### S087484 Lachi Delisa Richards, Respondent

V.

CH2M Hill, Inc., Appellant

The request of appellant to allocate to amicus curiae California Employment Law Council 10 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

#### S097484 Armon Leon Maiden, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v*. *Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

2nd Dist. Lucy Hooper, et al.

B142135 v.

Tenet Healthcare Corp., et al.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Seven to Division Three.

2nd Dist. Haleema Sabir

B143206

V.

SDS Industries, Inc., etc. et al.

The above entitled metter new pending in the C

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Three to Division Seven.

## S096069 In re **Steven Frank Epstein** on Discipline

It is ordered that Steven Frank Epstein, State Bar No. 85133, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 18 months. Credit towards the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on February 3, 1999. (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270.) He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 22, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

## S097236 In re **Robert O. Harker** on Reinstatement

Upon petition for reinstatement and recommendation of the State Bar of California, it is ordered that **Robert O. Harker** be reinstated as a member of the State Bar of California upon payment of the fees and taking the oath required by law.

S097553 In the Matter of the Resignation of **William Littell Bryan**, **Jr**. A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **William Littell Bryan**, **Jr.**, **State Bar No. 117084**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)